## Public Records Disposal Request and Destruction Log

### Finance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record Title &amp; Description, Inclusive Dates, &amp; Quantity</th>
<th>Records Will Be</th>
<th>Records Retention Section</th>
<th>If Approved, Date Destroyed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank Statements, Receipts, Deposits, etc. FY 2002</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliations - FY 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash receipts records FY 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid invoice files FY 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset/Inventory FY 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2003 project files FY 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable FY 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle rental tickets FY 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expediting reports FY 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Thrucheck FY 1999</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee earnings records FY 1999</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee timesheets FY 1999</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If duplication is required, indicate method.*

Approval is requested for the records listed above to be destroyed in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 121 and 132. The period for retention of these records, as prescribed by the North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources, has expired; **OR** where the period for retention has not expired, the original records have been duplicated on microfilm, microfiche, data processing or word processing equipment, with the understanding that said duplication shall be maintained for the specified period of retention. **NONE** of the original records listed above have been scheduled for permanent preservation by the North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources.

![Signature](signature.png)

Department Head

Date: 10/18/06

Submitted to the Henderson County Board of Commissioners. The Board:

- [ ] APPROVED
- [ ] DISAPPROVED

The destruction/duplication of the above records and such approval/disapproval has been entered into the official minutes of the Board of Commissioners meeting held on the 1st day of October 2006.

![Signature](signature.png)

Clerk to the Board
Memorandum

To: Steve Wyatt, County Manager

From: Captain Rick Davis, Sheriff’s Office Support Services Division

Date: 4 October 2006

Re: Sheriff’s Office Traffic Position

On 22 June 2006 the Henderson County Sheriff’s Office received approval of a grant application with the North Carolina Governor’s Highway Safety Program. This grant was originally slated to bring one traffic officer to the Town of Mills River. Since the approval date; however, the Town of Mills River has moved away from this concept. The Henderson County Sheriff’s Office would like to use the available grant funds to put online one officer dedicated to traffic enforcement in Henderson County.

The objectives of this position are as follows:

- Highway drug interdiction.
- Reduce the number of accidents on the roads of Henderson County.
- Reduce the number of traffic fatalities on the roads of Henderson County.
- Focus on speed enforcement, aggressive driving and alcohol related incidents.
- Strengthen public relations and education throughout Henderson County as they relate to safe driving habits and driving awareness.
- Conduct Town Hall Meetings to present materials explaining the goals and plans of the Safe Roads Henderson County Initiative.

This grant will provide the salary, benefits, vehicle and needed equipment to carry out the duties of this traffic position.

Pending the Board of Commissioner’s approval, the Sheriff’s Office and the Governor’s Highway Program will enter into a contractual agreement.

I respectfully request that the Sheriff’s Office be placed on Board of Commissioner’s Consent Agenda for 18 October 2006.
North Carolina Governor's Highway Safety Program
LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL RESOLUTION
Form GHSP-02-A
(To be completed, attached to and become part of Form GHSP-02, Highway Safety Project Contract.)

WHEREAS, the __________ Henderson County Sheriff's Office __________ (herein called the "Agency")
(The Applicant Agency)

has completed an application contract for traffic safety funding; and that the Henderson County Board of
Commissioners (herein called the "Governing Body") has thoroughly considered the problem identified and has
reviewed the project as described in the contract;

THEREFORE, NOW BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ________________ Henderson County Board of Commissioners ______ IN OPEN
(Governing Body)

MEETING ASSEMBLED IN THE CITY OF Hendersonville, NORTH CAROLINA,

THIS __ 18 __ DAY OF _______ October ________, 2006, AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the project referenced above is in the best interest of the Governing Body and the general public; and

2. That ________________ Eddie Watkins, Sheriff ___________ is authorized to file, on behalf of the Governing
(Name and Title of Representative)

Body, an application contract in the form prescribed by the Governor's Highway Safety Program for federal
funding in the amount of $ __ 81,852.00 ___________ to be made to the Governing Body to assist in defraying
(Federal Dollar Request)

the cost of the project described in the contract application; and

3. That the Governing Body has formally appropriated the cash contribution of $ __ 13,467.00 ___________ as
(Local Cash Appropriation)

required by the project contract; and

4. That the Project Director designated in the application contract shall furnish or make arrangement for other
appropriate persons to furnish such information, data, documents and reports as required by the contract, if
approved, or as may be required by the Governor's Highway Safety Program; and

5. That certified copies of this resolution be included as part of the contract referenced above; and

6. That this resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

DONE AND ORDERED in open meeting by ________________ (Chairperson/Mayor)

ATTESTED BY ________________ Elizabeth W. Corn ____________ SEAL
(Clerk)

DATE __ 10-31-06 ___________
HENDERSON COUNTY TIPPING FEE WAIVER POLICY
Policy to support projects that create or encourage affordable housing construction and repairs.

It is the policy of the Henderson County Board of Commissioners that Landfill Tipping Fees may be waived for certain affordable housing construction and repair projects within the County. The affordable housing projects must comply with criteria for meeting the public purpose of providing decent, safe and sanitary housing to low income persons. Only those projects meeting the definitions and criteria set forth below will be considered for the waiving of landfill tipping fees.

I. DEFINITIONS

a. Project: An organization’s efforts on behalf of low income residents to provide decent, safe, and sanitary housing.

b. Tipping Fee Waiver: A waiver of landfill fees associated with a publicly assisted housing project that benefits low income residents.

c. Low Income Household: A household whose gross income is at or below the “very low income” limit set by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

II. PROJECT ELIGIBILITY

Any project that has substantial public participation and is being sponsored by a non-profit or community group and is determined by the designated Henderson County official to meet a public purpose by virtue of its providing housing to low income residents.

III. LIMITATIONS

Projects receiving fee waivers are limited to the following:

a. Construction debris only, not household waste.
b. Projects sponsored by a non-profit organization.
c. Work must be performed completely in (with debris from) Henderson County.
d. Work must be performed by volunteers, without compensation.
e. Recipient(s) of work must be low income as defined by HUD.
f. A list of haulers’ names must be provided to the Solid Waste Department, at which time the designated official will provide a permit to be displayed when entering the scale gate at the landfill.
g. Application for fee waiver must be received at least 10 days prior to date of work request.
h. Fee waiver cannot extend longer than a consecutive 5 day period.
IV. APPLICATION GUIDELINES

Please provide the following information in your request in order for the fee waiver to be considered:

1. Description and location of project
2. Name of Project Manager/Coordinator
3. Name(s) of participating non-profit group(s)
4. Relevant income information pertaining to the recipient(s)
5. Describe the extent of volunteer participation for this project
6. Describe how this project will benefit the public

V. APPLICATION PROCEDURE

Any non-profit organization that meets the above criteria and wishes to make application per the guidelines for this program must submit the following in writing to the following address:

Henderson County Solid Waste Department
Attention: Tipping Fee Waiver Requests
802 Stoney Mountain Road
Hendersonville, NC 28791

Adopted this the 18th day of October, 2006.

William L. Mayer, Chairman

ATTEST: (SEAL)

Elizabeth W. Corn
Clerk to the Board / Deputy Clerk to the Board
*The floodways depicted on this map are a digitized interpretation based upon the March 1, 1982 Federal Emergency Management Agency Floodway Maps for Henderson County, NC. This depiction of the Floodways, 100-Year Floodplain, and 500-Year Floodplain in Henderson County is intended for general planning purposes only, and should not be utilized to determine actual floodway or floodplain elevation or position for any purpose.
Suggested Motion:

Approve the Proposals for MOE funds as one time funding of which 50% will be provided within the next 15 days and the remaining 50% when provider can demonstrate that they have at least 30% of their new case load as stated funded (IPRS) adult consumers. All grantees will be required to sign a performance contract with the county and provide documentation of services provided to the LME and the County per the Performance Agreement.
I am Carolyn Newman Mason. I have owned 18 acres of land that adjoins the Gregg property. I share a 1470 foot common property line with the Gregg property that is bordering to about 10 acres of my land. I have owned this land for 41 years. Imagine my surprise to learn by a letter in early October 2006 that the Gregg property has been proposed to have its zoning change from R-30 to I-2. Why didn’t the Planning Board and County Staff notify adjoining property owners about this proposal earlier in the process?

When we purchased our small farm 41 years ago, very little of the water from Mud Creek ever overflowed onto our property. We had maybe 100-150 feet of flood fringe land when there was a heavy rain.

Now with all the filling that has been allowed north and south of my property, the creek floods to the rise of the land. This means about 10 acres of my land is flooded. This has caused over half of my land to be floodway. In the past 40 years this is an increase from 2 to 10 acres. I have two pictures showing before and after flooding on the property.

I have two buildings, a barn and a shed, at the foot of the hill. When we raised Angus beef cattle, we kept feed for them and had a place for them to get out of the weather. Now when we have 3-4 inches of rain, these buildings get water in them. A neighbor has horses on my property but cannot use these buildings for hay or feed storage. The horses do not have a place to get out of the weather. These two buildings are now useless!

So many of us with farms are going from a small amount of flood fringe land to a lot of floodway land!!

My question to Mr. Gregg and Mr. Johnson is:
• Do you plan to put any fill on this land?
Currently all of Mr. Gregg’s property floods. I live on the property next to Mr. Gregg and share a 1470 feet border with the property. All of the property adjoining my land floods.

Filling any of this bottom land only shoots the water onto someone else’s property. My property will be negatively affected if this property is filled.
The County Commissioners could do something that would benefit all persons owning property along Mud Creek. They could see if they could get help from the Army Corps of Engineers in cleaning up Mud Creek. It is a dumping place for a lot of things and there are a lot of trees that have fallen in the creek. Just north of my property, it looks like there is part of a bridge and two trucks in the creek. If you come out to the property, I can show them to you.

I have two pictures that show how both the Gregg and Mason properties have flooded during the past five years. These pictures validate that this property is in the flood plain as described in Attachment 12 of the application.

My observation has been that at least twice a year for the last five years the Gregg Property and my property has flooded. These rain storms have not been the 100 year or 500 year rain. This property is in a flood plain that is more frequent than 100 or 500 years. Shouldn’t our federal, state, or county officials see that we dredge Mud Creek and clean it up so it can handle the storm water run off during these rain storms.

You are our elected officials and we are the voters. We look to you to protect our rights. I invite all of you or any of you to come out to my place and see that what I am telling you is the truth.

You are our elected leaders and have full responsibility to protect all of us from the misuse of any land. We pay a lot of taxes to Henderson County and expect to be served by the people who are elected to protect us from the things that have been allowed that is hurting our property.
This is the view from the Mason Property when it is not flooded.

The picture is taken from my property looking south to the big property. The first two bodies of water are on me. The third body of water is the big property. It is on the same level as mine if not a little higher.
Flooding in 2005 on Gregg Property and Asphalt Plant Property

Bildon
High Tension Electrical Power Lines
This is the property when flooded.
Floodplain Map

Rezoning Application
#R-2006-03
William W. Gregg, Applicant
Jesse D. Johnson, Agent

HCPD 10-18-06
From: Robert Hugh Laney
3112 Wesley Way
Hendersonville NC
28792
14 Oct. 2006

To: William Moyer
Chairman, Henderson Co. Commissioners
100 N. King St.
Hendersonville NC
28792

Dear Mr. Moyer:

This letter is in regard to Rezoning Application Number (R-2006-03). I am a resident of Grimesdale, have been for 27 years. I’ve seen the County Commissioners allow an asphalt plant to be built close to our subdivision.

Now it seems there’s the possibility of a commercial development being allowed even closer to our quiet, clean residential community. What’s even more threatening is the future possibility of traffic to such a rezoned commercial area coming through our subdivision, putting our children and elderly residents especially at risk.

The extremely long, narrow configuration of the parcel under consideration for rezoning would lend itself all too well for future desire on the part of commercial interests there to gain multiple access points. If our present County Commission is shortsighted enough to grant this rezoning request, there’d be no reason to suppose that a future Board of Commissioners wouldn’t allow traffic to be routed through our neighborhood.

We’ve seen too many points of beauty and residential repose in our county sacrificed on the altar of “dollars and jobs” as it is. I’m a native of western North Carolina, and I’m well aware of the difficulties of finding well-paying jobs. But if we allow our county to be overrun with supposed sources of employment, at the expense of quality of life here, the people who would fill the jobs and those who would come from other areas to patronize the businesses will no longer wish to live here. I urge you to vote against Rezoning Application No. (R-2006-03) and save an established Henderson County residential neighborhood from further despoliation.

Sincerely,

Robert Hugh Laney

CC: BOC
    Steve Anthony
    Libby
October 16, 2006

Mr. William Moyer
Chairman
Henderson County Board of Commissioners
100 N. King St., Hendersonville, NC 28792

Subj: Parcel ID Number: 00-9660-53-2152-55
Rezoning Application Number: R-2006-03

Dear Mr. Moyer:

I am deeply distressed to learn that the Board of Commissioners may rezone the subject parcel of land adjacent to the Grimesdale neighborhood as “I-2 General Industrial District.” This can have only one affect on the hundreds of people and families who live in Grimesdale and nearby neighborhoods: a significant reduction in the value of their property. Moreover, it will certainly have an adverse affect on the quality of life of Grimesdale residents, some of whom have lived in Grimesdale for more than fifty years.

I expect that the prospective buyer, Mr. Jesse D. Johnson, will claim that this parcel of land is currently “worthless” and that rezoning it will allow him to “improve” its value, and thus increase the county’s property tax revenues. However, I also suspect that he will not mention that industrializing this land will significantly reduce property values in Grimesdale, which will lower the county’s tax revenues by a much greater amount. Has the Board of Commissioners performed that economic analysis? Does it know what the net effect will be on county tax revenue?

But, money aside, the real question is: what kind of future do you envision for Henderson County? One that encourages heavy polluters to build their industrial sites in the midst of quiet residential areas, or one that invites retirees and young families with children to plant roots in Henderson County because of its wonderful climate, scenic landscape, and friendly neighbors.

That choice is yours.

Do the right thing and do not rezone this parcel of land.

Sincerely,

William Erickson
Grimesdale property owner and resident for seven years

berickson@frontier-knowledge.com • Office and Fax 828 696 9130 • Home 828 693 5992

CC: BAC
CC Steve
CC Libby
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant Time</th>
<th>$250.00</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>$125,000.00</th>
<th>$156,400.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Project through July 2007.
Invented and can extend this for at least 3 years.
Funds were extended this year.
Funds can be extended again.
Funds would allow for the future.
Funds support for an estimated 600
Consumers in Henderson County.
Care model to provide services and
Proposes to utilize an integrated

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>$240.00</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>$60,000.00</th>
<th>$100,000.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Services to provide CS to adults and DOC.
Adult services. They will also
We support the shift expansion for
Increase in child and adult services.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Providers</th>
<th>$583.33</th>
<th>$140,000.00</th>
<th>$140,571.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

High priority.
Plans to serve a high percentage of
Substantial increase in services.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments/Outcomes</th>
<th>Consumer Cost Per</th>
<th>Adult Consumers to be Served</th>
<th>Recommendation Requested</th>
<th>Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Henderson County MOE Proposals
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henderson County MOE Proposals</th>
<th>11/10</th>
<th>528.402.00</th>
<th>$1,349.921.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Needs:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVM Crisis but a need on immediate arrived late, focus not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposal:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMU funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current CS adult not match with this proposal does primary care positive, benefit, links with would be added if additional funds this recommended.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recomendation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement growth is future federal more support in the which might need new organization, service. This is a therapy visits and other hospitalizations, ER other costs. Like this service helps reduce many only. This service this is for &quot;stophouse&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult consumer cost per consumer to be served</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Request:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining MOE Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and/or substance abuse with co-occurring mental health violence services for consumers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry proposes domestic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Counseling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional outpatient counseling services program at Gilli Ave and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Ridge Community Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th Ave Psych Rehab Partners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date</td>
<td>Provider Options</td>
<td>Program Being Served</td>
<td>Number of Consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2006</td>
<td>Henderson Office</td>
<td>New Vistas/Mountain Laurel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear Mr. Morgan,

Please vote against

Reforming apple tax

Next year R - 200 - 03 is

Amount due: 140000

00 - 256 - 53 - 2152 - 55

I have lived in

Brunswick over 20 years

It is a good place to

live - let keep it that

way.

Sorry I can't write

better. I have makesen
degenerative (Eegd)

Thank you for your

service.

C. Frost

2742 Magnolia Dr.

Hendersonville, N.C.

28902
October 16, 2006

Mr. William Moyer
Chairman
Henderson County Board of Commissioners
100 N. King St., Hendersonville, NC 28792

Subj: Parcel ID Number: 00-9660-53-2152-55
Rezoning Application Number: R-2006-03

Dear Mr. Moyer:

I am deeply distressed to learn that the Board of Commissioners may rezone the subject parcel of land adjacent to the Grimesdale neighborhood as “I-2 General Industrial District.” This can have only one affect on the hundreds of people and families who live in Grimesdale and nearby neighborhoods: a **significant reduction in the value of their property**. Moreover, it will certainly have an adverse affect on the quality of life of Grimesdale residents, some of whom have lived in Grimesdale for more than fifty years.

I expect that the prospective buyer, Mr. Jesse D. Johnson, will claim that this parcel of land is currently “worthless” and that rezoning it will allow him to “improve” its value, and thus increase the county’s property tax revenues. However, I also suspect that he will **not** mention that industrializing this land will significantly **reduce** property values in Grimesdale, which will **lower** the county’s tax revenues by a much greater amount. Has the Board of Commissioners performed that economic analysis? Does it know what the net effect will be on county tax revenue?

But, money aside, the real question is: **what kind of future do you envision for Henderson County?** One that encourages heavy polluters to build their industrial sites in the midst of quiet residential areas, or one that invites retirees and young families with children to plant roots in Henderson County because of its wonderful climate, scenic landscape, and friendly neighbors.

That choice is yours.

Do the right thing and do **not** rezone this parcel of land.

Sincerely,

William Erickson
Grimesdale property owner and resident for seven years

berickson@frontier-knowledge.com • Office and Fax 828 696 9130 • Home 828 693 5992
October 6, 2006

Mr. William Moyer
Chairman, Henderson Country Board of Commissioners
100 King Street
Hendersonville, NC 28792

RE: Rezoning application no. R2006-03

Dear Mr. Moyer and fellow Commissioners:

We have read, with dismay the request for rezoning as put forward in application R2006-03.

Have we, the county home owners around this proposed rezoning change not had enough with the fiasco of the former Tarheel Asphalt plant, and now this, a request of approx. 15 acres WITHIN THE MUD CREEK FLOODPLAIN be changed from R-30 to I-2.....enough is enough, please stop this craziness now.

I/we am totally against the proposed changes of the entire parcel and hopefully you and the other Commissioners will be as well. I understand that some of this parcel, boarders along highway 25, and perhaps a "small portion" of this parcel along the highway can be changed to a business use.

Thank you.

Lance Siebel
290 Crabapple Hill Lane
Hendersonville, N. C. 28792-1141
828 696-9791

CC: BOC, Steve Anthony
25 Apple Creek Lane
Hendersonville, NC 28792

October 13, 2006

Mr. William Moyer, Chairman
Henderson County Board Of Commissioners
100 N. King Street
Hendersonville NC 28792

Re: Rezoning Application # R-2006-03

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This purpose of this letter is to plead that the request BE DENIED for zoning change on property in reference above from R-30 (Low Density Residential to I-2 (General Industrial). This parcel in question is adjacent to existing residential housing development known as Grimesdale.

As homeowners in Grimesdale, we shudder about the types of businesses that could be allowed on that property which is virtually in our backyard, not even mentioning possible air and noise pollution.

Speaking of air and noise pollution, you may recall a similar request for zoning change a few years ago which was approved by the Board of Commissioners under your leadership. That approval resulted in the asphalt plant being constructed on property contiguous to the parcel currently in question. In case you don’t know, the asphalt plant already pollutes our housing area with noise, odors, and sends black particles sticking to our yard furniture (which was white, by the way.) I hate to think what is happening to our lungs.

Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Board of Commissioners to reject the application for zoning change on Rezoning Application Number R-2006-03.

Very truly yours,

Lawrence and Tanya Jones

CC: BOCA
October 17, 2006

Re: Rezoning Application #R-2006-03

Mr. Moyer,

I am a homeowner in the Grimesdale Neighborhood and I am writing to voice my concern over the possible rezoning of the property adjacent to our community. I do understand the need to develop land and property in the county as it relates to the tax base, but to do this at the expense of a long established neighborhood is alarming at best. What other neighborhoods will be next in line for this type of development?

I strongly urge you and the other board members to turn this request down for the good of Grimesdale. We have already had to deal with the asphalt plant, please do not make this the next fight we both have to endure.

Sincerely,

Farrell Beam
3115 Magnolia Drive
Hendersonville, NC  28792
828-696-4115

CC: Steve Anthony
October 7, 2006

In regards to: Rezoning Application Number (R-2006-03)

Mr. William Moyer, Chairman
Henderson County, of Commissioners
100 North King Street
Hendersonville, NC 28792

Dear Mr. Moyer,

Our family is extremely concerned about the possibility of the rezoning plan (R-2006-03). We moved to this location to live in a quiet, family neighborhood where we could feel confident our children would be raised in as clean and safe an environment as possible. We have deep concerns as to what establishments could be placed with a rezoning of this area. Please make note of the wishes of those in our neighborhood and do not allow this area to be changed from the lovely environment we have had! We have already seen changes from the businesses added in the area and are extremely opposed to any non-family residential areas added to the areas near Grimesdale.

Thank you for realizing the need to oppose this rezoning. We appreciate you standing up for us in this matter!

Sincerely,

M.A. Barazi

The Barazi Family
3143 Magnolia Drive
Hendersonville, NC 28792

CC: Libby

Steve

Anthony