PUBLIC INPUT
SIGN UP SHEET

PUBLIC INPUT SHALL BE LIMITED TO
THREE (3) MINUTES PER PERSON.

EACH PERSON SHOULD:
(1) STATE YOUR NAME
(2) IN WHAT AREA OF THE COUNTY YOU LIVE
(3) SPEAK IN A CLEAR AND COURTEOUS MANNER.

PLEASE PRINT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>ISSUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. JASON WELLS</td>
<td>211 Almond Branch HU</td>
<td>Healthcare Future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Dennis Justice</td>
<td>31 Tanish, floor</td>
<td>Anchols Bldg. 350 East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Audit Highlights

- Unqualified opinion
- No material internal control weakness identified
- Cooperative staff
Audit Process

- Planning and Risk Assessment
- Interim Procedures
- Final Procedures
- Year Around Process
General Fund Expenditures
July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011

Budgeted expenditures: $ 113,030,653

Actual expenditures: $ 107,726,474

Net expenditures under budget: $ 5,304,179
## General Fund Revenues
### July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budgeted revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance appropriated</td>
<td>$ 6,418,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General fund revenues</td>
<td>106,611,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total budgeted revenues</strong></td>
<td>$ 113,030,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actual revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 107,852,476</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net revenues under budget:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 5,178,177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# General Fund Revenues over Expenditures

**July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual revenues:</td>
<td>$107,852,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual expenditures:</td>
<td>$107,726,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net revenues over expenditures:</td>
<td>$126,002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Fund Balance not spent:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance appropriated – FY2011</td>
<td>$6,418,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Net revenues over expenditures</td>
<td>$126,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net General Fund Balance</td>
<td>$6,544,907</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## General Fund Balance
### Unspent Appropriation at June 30, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net General Fund Balance</td>
<td>$6,544,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Amount appropriated to balance budget for FY2012</td>
<td>($3,194,191)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net as of July 1, 2011</td>
<td>$3,350,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unassigned Fund Balance</td>
<td>$ 26,849,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOC Policy Level (12%)</td>
<td>$ 12,927,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Over BOC Policy</td>
<td>$ 13,922,195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion & Questions
Henderson County Youth Council Executive Summary
November 15, 2011

The purposes of a youth council are to express the views and needs of the youth to local
decision makers, present the youth of the county with an opportunity to be active citizens, and
to improve the knowledge of local government to the youth. The Council will be made up of
twenty high school students representing the public and private schools in Henderson County,
as well as the homeschool association, various youth organizations, and the municipalities of
Henderson County. There will be two leaders to help the Council that will attend every
meeting. There will also be an Advisory Committee of concerned community leaders to help
the Council with big projects. Meetings will be held once a month September through May. Each member will go through an application process.

A Youth Council will benefit the County Commissioners by giving them the voice of the youth
at their fingertips and a chance to train the future leaders of the world about their jobs and local
government.

A Youth Council will benefit the Youth of the County by presenting them with the opportunity to
be active citizens, understand county government, make a change in their community, and
build experience to help in their schools and for college and future careers.

A Youth Council will benefit the Community by having a group of teenagers to contact about
anything from opinions to volunteer work. The Council will help with making government paid
programs more efficient. This Council will also help make the future citizens of the community
more experienced and knowledgeable.
Wastewater Treatment Study
Cane Creek Water & Sewer District

Henderson County, North Carolina

Wednesday, November 16, 2011
Interview Agenda

- Introductions
- Firm Overview
- Study Team
- Related Experience
- Study Approach
- Summary
- Q&A
Firm Overview

- Established in 1954 with six offices in the Carolinas.

- Specializes in assisting local governments in meeting their infrastructure needs.

- Top 500 firm by *Engineer News Record* for over 30 years.

- 185+ Experienced, professionals on staff.

- Full service, multi-discipline.

- Privately owned firm.
Firm Overview

Service Disciplines

- Civil Engineers
- Architects
- Transportation Engineers
- Surveyors
- Structural Engineers
- Environmental Engineers
- Chemical Engineers
- Electrical Engineers
- Mechanical Engineers
- Hazardous Waste Specialists
- Asbestos Specialists
- Specification Writers
- Hydrogeologists
- Chemists
- Biologists
- Industrial Hygienists
- Toxicologists
- Geologists
- Construction Managers
- Resident Inspectors
- Cost Estimators
- Planners
Study Team

Henderson County

Technical Advisory Team
- Environmental
  - Rudy Powell, PE
- Technical Consultants
  - Jerry Timmons, PE
  - John Reynolds, PE

Project Director
- Gene Haynes

Project Manager
- Guy Slagle, PE

Technical Support Services
- Lead Project Engineers
  - Scott Bell, PE
  - Preston Watson, PE
- Wastewater Process Engineer
  - Danny Ware, PE
- Staff Engineers
  - William Clayton, EI
  - Josh Jones
- Financial Analysis
  - Marty Watson
Related Experience

- Recent Wastewater Treatment Plan Experience

- Grand Strand Water & Sewer Authority – Buckspoint WWTP
- City of Georgetown – West Georgetown County Regional WWTP
- Hickory – Northeast WWTP
- Berkeley County WS – Central WWTP
- Greenwood MD – Wilson Creek WWTP
- Kershaw County – Wateree WWTP
- Catawba County – Catawba WWTP
Related Experience

- Wastewater Facility Planning & Studies
  - Catawba County – McLin/Lyle Creek Basin Master Plan
  - Clarendon County – Regional wastewater service agreement
  - Georgetown County – Regional wastewater system master plan & service agreement
  - York, Chester, & Lancaster Counties – Wastewater master plan
  - Berkeley County – Wastewater master plan
  - Kershaw County – Wastewater master plan
  - Sumter, Hampton, Oxford, SLCOG – Cost of service studies
Study Purpose

What is the Most Effective Approach to Provide Wastewater Services in the Cane Creek W&S District?
Key Study Issues:

- Protect & preserve the environment.
- Ability to respond to needs of growth & development.
- Fair & equitable service agreements.
- Provide wastewater service at a reasonable rate by:
  - Efficient use of capital for system improvements
  - Expansion of customer base
  - Cost efficient system operation
  - Appropriate cost allocation
Study Approach:

- Technical Advisory Committee (TAC): Provide for stakeholder participation
  - Participate in study workshops
  - Oversee study development
  - Provide input into study decisions
  - Assist in building consensus for study findings
Study Approach:

- Engineering Study Phase: Technical development & selection of an alternative for providing wastewater treatment service.
  - Regional system alternative
  - “Stand-alone” alternative

- Financial Study Phase: Evaluate & select “Regional” or “Stand-alone” alternative based upon:
  - Economic analysis
  - Ownership & management
  - Cost of service
  - Implementation
  - Regional service agreements
Summary:

- Davis & Floyd provides Henderson County:
  - An established multi-discipline firm that specializes in serving the needs of local governments.
  - A study team highly experienced in wastewater treatment system planning, design, & operation.
  - A tried & proven study approach that provides for maximum participation by Henderson County and other stakeholders.
  - A team that desires to establish a long term service partnership with Henderson County.
Questions & Answers

CIVIL ENGINEERING
WASTEWATER TREATMENT & COLLECTION
WATER TREATMENT, STORAGE & DISTRIBUTION
SOLID WASTE
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES & LABORATORY