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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Engstrom</td>
<td>330 Miland Dr, Asheville</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janice Parker</td>
<td>508 6th St, NC</td>
<td>n</td>
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<td>County line project</td>
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<td>Janice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Justice</td>
<td>31 Tennis Lace, Fletcher</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Davis</td>
<td>122 Moorridge Ct, Flat Rock</td>
<td>Agenda 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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10. __________________________
11. __________________________
12. __________________________
13. __________________________
14. __________________________
9 a.m., February 15, 2012

Historic Courthouse
1 Historic Courthouse Square, Suite 1
Hendersonville, NC 28792

To: Henderson County Commissioners: Tommy Thompson, Bill O’Connor, Michael Edney, Charlie Messer, and Larry Young

Dear Henderson County Commissioners:

Whether you are a Republican or an Independent or a Democrat, you need to be aware that the Republican National Committee has adopted a resolution to their national platform to reject all Agenda 21 / Sustainable Development funding from the federal government and to encourage the States and local governments to do the same. I’ve attached a copy of that Resolution for you. A copy of it can also be found here: http://www.nrcc.gov/mcr-resolution.html, and here: http://www.democratsagainstagenda21.com/the-way-we-see-it-our-blog.html.

The Land of Sky Regional Council that some of you serve on, the Environmental Advisory Committee and the Solid Waste Advisory Committee members that you will vote on today, are the strong arm of Sustainable Development. The Land of Sky Regional Council and the Environmental Advisory Committee, and the Solid Waste Advisory Committee should never have been embraced by Henderson County. This is an opportunity to rescind your relationship with, to defund, and to abolish that Council and Committees.

On behalf of the 1100 in the Asheville Tea Party, we are asking that you sign the Republican National Committee’s resolution to reject Agenda 21 and Sustainable Development and its covert destructive, and “radical policies” under the mantle of Sustainable Development, Smart Growth, Green jobs, walkable cities, livable cities, social justice or social equity, transportation and parking restrictions (reducing transportation options for cars and trucks), multimodal transportation, energy restrictions, land use rules on all of the above, et al.

“The Agenda 21 plan of radical so-called ‘sustainable development’ views the American way of life of private property ownership, single family homes, private car ownership and individual travel choices, and privately owned farms; ... as
destructive to the environment; ... Sustainable Development by any of its various names is dangerous. It robs all U.S. citizens of our individual rights, privacy, economic freedom, and private property.

These regional councils and subsets of them are pervasive throughout the county and they must be abolished. Please show your support this National Republican Resolution to Condemn Agenda 21 and Sustainable Development by rescinding, defunding and abolishing Henderson County’s relationship with The Land of Sky Regional Council and the Environmental Advisory and Solid Waste Committees.

Sincerely,

Jane Bilello
Chairman
Asheville Tea Party, Inc.  www.ashevilleteaparty.org
Asheville Tea PAC www.ashevilleteapac.org

Attachments:

National Republican Resolution to Defund Agenda 21 and Sustainable Development

Understanding Sustainable Development – Agenda 21 prepared by Freedom Advocates

Backgrounder: Published by The Heritage Foundation

Excerpt from Sustainable Growth: Principles and Policies by Dr. Roy Cordato, The John Locke Foundation. (Please email me for the complete 52 page report. jane@ashevilleteaparty.org 828 692 3117)

21 Signs Agenda 21 is in your community
Resolution Exposing United Nations Agenda 21

WHEREAS, the United Nations Agenda 21 is a comprehensive plan of extreme environmentalism, social engineering and global political control, that was initiated at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992; and,

WHEREAS, the United Nations Agenda 21 is being covertly pushed into local communities throughout the United States of America through the International Council of Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) through local “sustainable development” policies such as Smart Growth, Wildlands Project, Resilient Cities, Regional Visioning Projects, and other “Green” or “Alternative” projects; and,

WHEREAS, this United Nations Agenda 21 plan of radical so-called “sustainable development” views the American way of life of private property ownership, single family homes, private car ownership and individual travel choices, and privately owned farms; all as destructive to the environment; and,

WHEREAS, according to the United Nations Agenda 21 policy, social justice is described as the right and opportunity of all people to benefit equally from the resources afforded us by society and the environment which would be accomplished by socialist/communist redistribution of wealth; and,

WHEREAS, according to the United Nations Agenda 21 policy National sovereignty is deemed a social injustice; now therefore be

RESOLVED, the Republican National Committee recognizes the destructive and insidious nature of United Nations Agenda 21 and hereby exposes to the public and public policy makers the dangerous intent of the plan; and therefore be it further

RESOLVED, that the U.S. government and no state or local government is legally bound by the United Nations Agenda 21 treaty in that it has never been endorsed by the (U.S.) Senate, and therefore be it further

RESOLVED, that the federal and state and local governments across the country be well informed of the underlying harmful implications of implementation of United Nations Agenda 21 destructive strategies for “sustainable development” and we hereby endorse rejection of its radical policies and rejection of any grant monies attached to it.

THE END
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More information on the nature and consequences

You're fellow citizens, protect the rights and well-being of you, your family, and support the process and take action that restores and helps you make a difference in your community by learning more about sustainable development.

Please recognize this document for what it is: a unique

exist and continue to arise. The causes of unsustainable development programs that will have the knowledge necessary to begin advocating. When you have finished reading this document, you

implemented. Understanding the major programs, and how it is

the origins of sustainable development. This guide is intended

being mandated. But what is sustainable development?
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Freedom Advocates, P.O. Box 3300 - Freedom, CA 95919
Interministerially binding agreements can find immediate application through local legislation or policy without requiring changes to national law. The new international environment demands a new strategy to ensure the effective implementation of Agenda 21 policies. This is a common procedure in the UN’s President and Congress, as required by the UN Charter. The recommendations have been implemented through various actions by the President and Congress.

For the purpose of implementing Agenda 21 in the United States, The President's Council on Sustainable Development (PCSD) established an Executive Board (PCSD Board) in 1993. A triennial, needs-based re-evaluation of Agenda 21 policies is underway.

Although Congress, the United States, is the primary source of legislation, the President's Council on Sustainable Development, under the leadership of the President, is responsible for the implementation of Agenda 21 policies. The Council has developed a comprehensive action plan for each of the 178 countries, including the United States, to achieve the goals of Agenda 21. The Council's work is guided by the principle that development must be sustainable, with priority given to the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

What is Sustainable Development?

Sustainable Development is a concept that recognizes the interdependence of economic, social, and environmental needs. It is defined as development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.
The Antithetical Foundations of Liberty

Which Philosophy of Right?

From the Rio Earth Summit Agenda 21, only be used to refer to the actual document developed with the General Assembly, and Agenda 21 to be synonymous. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), generally consider International organizations such as the UN, and its accredited

PSCD operated through 1999, but its actions to promote Susumable

Development have taken root, and now exert an increasing influence

In communities across America,
Implementing Sustainable Development policies is a priority for many countries. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) aim to address the following challenges:

1. Poverty
2. Health
3. Education
4. Gender Equality
5. Clean Water and Sanitation
6. Affordable and Clean Energy
7. Secure Land Rights
8. Peace and Justice
9. Climate Action

These goals are interlinked and require a holistic approach. For example, secure land rights can help combat poverty and inequality, while actions to combat climate change can also help improve health and education. The SDGs provide a framework for countries to work together towards a more sustainable future.
partnerships.

Wealth and the Creation of Public/Private

The International Redistribution of

Economy:
accountability to the voters.

The product of a shareholder council, often called "a consensus

adhering to the Freedom to use and enjoy private property,

whom manifesting any local opposition, particularly those individuals who

sustainable development systematically promote their own ideas and

"take" in the control over property in neighborhoods, spreading of

those who seek accountability in government. Reforming within a

community around the world is particularly alarming, especially to

The way that Sustainable Development is carried out in local

James Madison

"I believe there are more instances

Restructuring American Government

While shareholders focus on decisions of how to grow the business,

Aristotle

Educating the Youth to Mold the Minds of Tomorrow

"All who have mediated

partner organizations. The list goes on and on...."
Sustainable Development projects are often initiated at the directive level. Why all the effort to gain support for programs when few citizens want them? At the local level, policies that advance sustainable development are often met with resistance from those who see it as restricting their freedom. Regrettably, this is true in many communities. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and local government bodies are often at odds over the implementation of policies that advance sustainability. The challenges faced by these organizations are numerous, and the solutions vary from case to case. However, once a problem has been identified, every NGO, non-profit, and local government body has a role to play in addressing it.
Funding Sources

NGOs and ICTEL

The Sustainable Development Directives enacted by federal agencies, issue local and regional legislation. Every country in Africa now has local special initiatives to translate international policy objectives.

Around the world, ICTEL is responsible for communicating with stakeholders, building consensus and identifying priorities. Solutions and best practices can be applied in sustainable ways and scaled up to global solutions.

In the last decade, 2002 Earth Summit in Johannesburg, South Africa, will ensure the
mandate: "Local action/strategies/the local environment/needs of the
nation's ecological, social and economic conditions to be

ICTEL was instrumental in the development of Agenda 21, having
The Wildlands Project

has been the subject of much discussion and public interest in recent years.

The most significant milestones include:

- **1999**: The Wildlands Project was formally launched by the Wildlands Conservation Trust.
- **2002**: The project received $5 million in funding from the US government.
- **2003**: The project expanded to include 10 new conservation areas.

**The Wildlands Network**

The Wildlands Network is a coalition of organizations working to protect biodiversity through habitat conservation. The network includes over 120 organizations from around the world, and has been instrumental in shaping global conservation policies. The Wildlands Network is headquartered in Washington, DC, and has offices in several other countries.
...
America is being transformed.

The public/private partnership with governments at all levels to create shared value and promote economic growth.

Abusing Eminent Domain to Promote Private Interests

Private partnerships with governments, large and small, to create shared value and promote economic growth.

The Trans-Texas Corridor is a major component in the creation of a North American Union (NAU) - The Corridor Facilitates the Policy-Economic Integration of Mexico, Canada and the United States through the establishment of trade routes, joint roads, utilities and other infrastructures.

The Trans-Texas Corridor is a major component in the creation of a North American Union (NAU).

People will mean the suppression of unanswerable rights for all economic and political transactions. Suspectable Development

The looming battle of ideas should be recognized as a class - and

future for us and our posterity.

Restoring Freedom to Liberty, Project Liberty

6 Practical Steps to

Converting the ideas of Suspectable Development and taking an oath for freedom in America depends on us recognizing and:

1. Recognize and resist the trend to replace political boundaries with "regional governance." Recognize that this form of government is a fraud.

2. Reject local government receipts of federal or state money for projects.

3. Avoid local government programs with the federal government. Such programs are suspectable development.

4. Understand and enforce the role of public officials in your town or county to the insuspectable nature of Suspectable Development.

5. Know and understand the Constitution, to which elected

individual liberty and ensure equal justice. Community to administer government in a manner that protects


What You Can Do
Freedom Advocates

801 E. 60th St. (Bx 10064)
Chicago, IL 60637
Phone: 312-951-8441
Fax: 312-951-8449
Email: info@fair.edu
Website: www.freedomadvocates.org

For more information or to order these materials, please visit our website or call 312-664-2323.

The Reason for the Liberty

Freedom is not just about personal rights and freedoms. It is about the right to exist as a free and independent individual. Freedom Advocates is committed to the protection and promotion of individual liberty and the rights of all individuals. We work to educate and empower people to defend their freedom and to support the principles of liberty and justice. Learn more and get involved today!

Freedom Advocates

801 E. 60th St. (Bx 10064)
Chicago, IL 60637
Phone: 312-951-8441
Fax: 312-951-8449
Email: info@fair.edu
Website: www.freedomadvocates.org

For more information or to order these materials, please visit our website or call 312-664-2323.
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Focus on Agenda 21 Should Not Divert Attention from Homegrown Anti-Growth Policies

Wendell Cox, Ronald D. Utt, Ph.D., and Brett D. Schaefer

Abstract: Agenda 21, adopted at the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, unabashedly calls on governments to intervene and regulate nearly every potential impact that human activity could have on the environment. However, Agenda 21 is non-binding; it depends on governments for implementation. If opponents focus excessively on Agenda 21, it is much more likely that homegrown smart-growth policies that undermine the quality of life, personal choice, and property rights in American communities will be implemented by local, state, and federal authorities at the behest of environmental groups and other vested interests. Preventing American implementation of Agenda 21 should therefore be viewed as only one part of a broader effort to convince U.S. government officials to repeal destructive smart-growth programs and prevent the enactment of new ones.

Talking Points

- Among other priorities, smart-growth policies impose land use regulations that suppress housing supply and drive up home prices, in turn imposing unnecessary costs, especially on middle- and lower-income households. These policies contributed to and aggravate the real estate bubble by putting inflationary pressures on housing prices.
- Agenda 21, adopted by various nations after the U.N. Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, advocates changes similar to those of smart-growth advocates.
- Agenda 21 and similar smart-growth policies greatly extend the government’s regulatory reach and impede economic growth, construction, consumer choice, homeownership, job creation, and flexible community development strategies.
- Agenda 21 represents just one part of the broader fight. The entire spectrum of crippling smart-growth policies, many of which predate the United Nations and Agenda 21, have already been implemented or are being proposed in American communities and should be opposed.

Radical environmentalists, local business groups, and the ever-present Not in My Backyard crowd have been trying for decades to reshape American communities to conform to their preferred “smart growth” policies. These advocates work to impose land use regulations that would force Americans into denser living arrangements, curtail freedom of choice in housing, discriminate against lower-income Americans, and compel people to pay more for their houses and give up their cars in favor of subways, trolleys, buses, and bicycles.
development and economic growth, and undermine individual choice and policy flexibility for local communities. Opponents should be concerned about efforts by the U.S. government to implement these policies, both nationally and locally.  

If implemented, the types of policies encouraged in Agenda 21 would significantly expand the role of government in economic decision-making, impede development and economic growth, and undermine individual choice and policy flexibility for local communities.

However, Agenda 21 is non-binding; it depends entirely on national, state, and local governments for implementation and therefore poses little threat in and of itself. It is the policies endorsed by Agenda 21 that are of most concern, and these policies are not confined to Agenda 21. On the contrary, those policies undergird the smart-growth agenda that has gained widespread acceptance in many parts of the U.S. to the detriment of local economies.

Radical Environmental Principles Predate Agenda 21 Proposals

The smart-growth policies echoed in Agenda 21 originated among liberal European and American intellectuals and significantly predate the adoption of Agenda 21. In fact, the British version of these policies—which had a strong influence on American liberals and the international environmental activists that largely wrote Agenda 21—had its origins in the 1920s. As Britain’s Prince Charles has written:

For more than eighty years, the Campaign to Protect Rural England has been leading the fight to preserve the remaining delicate fabric of the countryside. The foresight of the founding fathers was extraordinary—in 1926 Clough Williams-Ellis, whom I remember well and admire greatly, published England and the Octopus, an anti-sprawl polemic, and in the same year Sir Patrick Abercrombie wrote his paper, The Preservation of Rural England. The fight has continued since then and great successes have been won.

These policies, embodied in the Town and Country Planning Act, enacted by a socialist government in 1947, which forced nearly all subsequent development into existing urban footprints, have been an economic disaster. The citizens of the United Kingdom now have the smallest and most expensive housing of any advanced country in the world.

America’s smart-growth movement emerged in force in the early 1970s when communities in California and Oregon began to replicate Britain’s anti-sprawl policies through restrictive zoning practices to discourage suburbanization. Bit by bit, it spread around the country as more and more communities adopted policies to deter suburban growth for all but the well-to-do. Growth control efforts underway in these communities were driven not only by a distorted view of the environment, but also by the desire of those already in place to prevent newcomers from arriving and spoiling the rural ambience of their suburban communities.

By the 1980s, these policies led President George H. W. Bush to create a commission, overseen by Secretary of Housing and Urban Development Jack

5. A number of conservative groups have expressed growing concern over Agenda 21. A grassroots effort has arisen to convince local U.S. governments to cease their endorsement of Agenda 21 and end their participation with its most prominent implementing partner, the International Council of Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). All told, there are 1,196 “global members” of ICLEI, of which nearly half (562 counties, cities, and municipalities) are in the U.S. See Rachel Alexander, “Agenda 21: Conspiracy Theory or Real Threat?” Townhall.com, July 2, 2011, at http://townhall.com/columnists/rachelalexander/2011/07/02/agenda_21_conspiracy_theory_or_real_threat/page/full/ (November 7, 2011); ICLEI, “Members,” at http://www.iclei.org/index.php?id=11454 (November 7, 2011).


Kemp, to investigate the impact of these policies on growth and communities and make recommendations. Its report, "Not in My Back Yard: Removing Barriers to Affordable Housing," was a powerful critique of policies now known as "smart growth."

While recklessness was certainly a factor in the U.S. housing bubble, smart-growth policies played a major role in creating and exacerbating the bubble and the subsequent recession.

Nonetheless, smart-growth policies continued to advance in the U.S. As they became more prevalent and restrictive, their impact on housing prices and construction likewise expanded. An explosion of exclusionary zoning throughout the U.S. encouraged many communities to adopt zoning policies to ensure that they maintained a certain demographic "profile." Such zoning limited real estate development to higher-cost homes in order to "price out" moderate-income households, which included a disproportionate share of minorities.

In the wake of the bursting of the U.S. housing bubble, Chancellor of the Exchequer George Osborne wryly noted that Britain escaped the sort of housing bubble and crash that staggered America because, whereas America recklessly expanded its housing stock, "We were saved by the fact that you can't build anything in this country." While recklessness was certainly a factor in the U.S. housing bubble, smart-growth policies played a major role in creating and exacerbating the bubble and the subsequent recession. In fact, the states and metropolitan areas with the strictest smart-growth land regulations were the ones that suffered the greatest home price bubbles (notably in California, Florida, Arizona, and Nevada) and the most serious foreclosure problems once the bubble burst.

**Missing the Real Target**

Opponents of Agenda 21 should not be distracted from the more tangible manifestation of the smart-growth principles outlined in that document. If they focus excessively on Agenda 21, it is much more likely that homegrown smart-growth policies that date to the early 1970s and undermine the quality of life, personal choice, and property rights in American communities will be implemented by local, state, and federal authorities at the behest of environmental groups and other vested interests.

Adding to the problem, the Obama Administration has warmly embraced smart-growth policies and, more broadly, increased environmental regulation and restriction of use of natural resources. Secretary of Transportation Ray LaHood is the Administration's point man in selling smart-growth policies to the American people. He and other key Administration officials are abetted by state and local elected officials and numerous interest groups, including the Urban Land Institute, local Metropolitan Planning Organizations, Smart Growth America, the American Public Transportation Association, the Sierra Club, Friends of the Earth, and shortsighted local business associations.

Opponents of these policies have been very effective in their work. A good example is the state of Florida, where Governor Rick Scott (R) and the state legislature repealed a 25-year-old smart-growth law a few months ago.

---


10. Cox and Utt, "Don't Regulate the Suburbs."


If implemented, the types of policies encouraged in Agenda 21 would be detrimental to economic growth and prosperity. Thus, preventing American implementation of Agenda 21 at the national level and membership by U.S. counties, cities, and municipalities in the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI), now called Local Governments for Sustainability, is worthwhile. But this effort should be viewed as only one part of a broader effort to convince U.S. government officials to repeal destructive smart-growth programs and prevent the enactment of new ones.

—Wendell Cox, Principal of the Wendell Cox Consultancy in St. Louis, Missouri, is a Visiting Fellow in the Thomas A. Roe Institute for Economic Policy Studies at The Heritage Foundation. Ronald D. Utt, Ph.D., is Herbert and Joyce Morgan Senior Research Fellow in the Roe Institute. Brett D. Schaefer is Jay Kingham Fellow in International Regulatory Affairs in the Margaret Thatcher Center for Freedom, a division of the Kathryn and Shelby Cullom Davis Institute for International Studies, at The Heritage Foundation and editor of ConUNdrum: The Limits of the United Nations and the Search for Alternatives (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2009).
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21 Signs Agenda 21 Is in Your Community by Darin Moser

Has your town or city been infiltrated by United Nations policies of Agenda 21 and Sustainable Development? Below is listed a collection of 21 signs that point to the likely involvement of this radical new philosophy in your community.

1. Your community is a member of organizations such as ICLEI (International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives), or ICMA (International City/County Management Association) - these organizations promote the creation of sustainable communities in line with United Nations Agenda 21.

2.) Your community has a Sustainability Director or Department of Sustainable Development that is in charge of coordinating the planning and implementation of sustainable development policies in your area.

3.) Your community has a Vision, Master, or Comprehensive Plan typically created within the past 5-10 years that promotes the three E’s of Sustainable Development (Environment, Economy, and Social Equity) also known as the “Triple Bottom Line”.

4.) Your community supports compact, high density, mixed use, pedestrian and bicycle oriented development patterns, constructed primarily along public transit and rail corridors. This is also known as Smart Growth, New Urbanism, or
Resilient Communities. These communities also promote alternative modes of transportation away from the traditional automobile toward policies which endorse and encourage public transit whenever possible to curb fossil fuel usage and lower carbon emissions. This may include the implementation of community wide Biking and Pedestrian plans.

5.) Your community is actively promoting healthy communities through sustainable agriculture and community gardens; encouraging a shift away from the current free market driven food system to a new locally-focused, publicly incentivised sustainable food system.

6.) Your city or town has established an Urban Growth Boundary beyond which all development including the suburbs is considered SPRAWL and blight and is discouraged through various incentives and regulations.

7.) Your town has joined with local regional councils or with the state or federal government to promote Sustainable Communities Planning or to launch new sustainable initiatives.

8.) Your community has embraced and is building the infrastructure of the new “green” energy alternatives including solar and wind farms while discouraging the continued use of other forms of energy such as fossil fuel and coal.

9.) Your community is placing restrictions on private landowners in the form of increased regulation and changed land use rules in order to promote farmland preservation, environmental protection and conservation of natural resources.

10.) Your community is working through state and local mechanisms including NGO’s (Non-Governmental Organizations) to gain more and more control over privately owned land in order to secure it in perpetuity as shared, common “green space”.
11.) You find this or any similar symbol in your community’s official government documents:

12.) Your community belongs to the Earth Charter, the Sierra Club’s Cool Cities Initiative or the Audobon Society’s Sustainable Community Initiative or your mayor has signed the U.S. Conference of Mayors’ Climate Protection Agreement.

13.) Your community leaders accept manmade global warming as fact and begin to endorse policies to mitigate any actions or development that may promote global warming.

14.) Your community enacts an energy plan requiring governmentally predetermined efficiency standards in order to lower your community’s carbon footprint. This may include utilizing new “green” LEED building and energy code standards for construction and development that include incentives, benchmarks, and retrofitting.

15.) Your local leaders begin to refer to your community as a “transition town”, a resilient city, or as a “livable community” and begin teaching through local government and institutions a community focus on interdependence with nature, interconnectedness and globalism.

16.) Your local government uses the language of Social Equity; such as food justice, economic and environmental justice, fairness, direct democracy, diversity, food deserts, social justice, and wealth redistribution.

17.) There is involvement from multiple Non-Governmental Organizations in your city’s planning and development initiatives. Any new planning involves these NGO’s and many other “stakeholders” in the collaborative, consensus-building, “visioning” process that details the plans for your community’s future.

18.) Your local school systems begin promoting environmental awareness and sustainable development; with a focus on becoming environmentally literate, good global citizens. Your school may be involved with International Baccalaureate or other UN sponsored education agendas.
19.) Your local government authorities begin using and exceeding their constitutionally granted powers alongside private organizations to assist in the promotion of sustainable initiatives through Public-Private Partnerships.

20.) You see a focused and significant push toward “social equity” interfaith initiatives that promote a “one world” mentality along with community diversity, multiculturalism, sameness of faiths, social inclusion and environmental stewardship.

21.) Your community uses language that calls for “redefining” how we determine progress and prosperity away from traditional wealth and growth measurements like GDP (Gross Domestic Product) toward more philosophical non-specific ideas such as well being and happiness.

The above signs are but a few that illustrate the implementation of Sustainable Development ideology in your community. If you see these signs unfolding around you in your various local institutions you may want to deepen your level of research into Agenda 21 and Sustainable Development and begin working to shine the light of truth in order to expose these globalists anti-American policies for the one world reality that they serve.